THE IDENTITY OF NEARCTIC CEROCEPHALA WESTWOOD (HYMENOPTERA: PTEROMALIDAE)

E. E. GRISSELL

Systematic Entomology Laboratory, IIBIII, Agric. Res., Sci. and Educ. Admin., USDA, % National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. 20560.

Abstract.—The name Cerocephala rufa (of American authors) has been incorrectly applied to a Nearctic species that is actually the Palearctic species C. eccoptogastri Masi. The Nearctic species C. dubarae Wallace is synonymized with C. rufa (Walker). Both rufa and eccoptogastri are now recognized as Holarctic. A key is given for both species, their geographic distribution is summarized, and known hosts are listed. Cerocephala eccoptogastri is associated with Scolytidae and C. rufa with Anobiidae. Both may be primary parasites or they may be secondarily associated with Braconidae.

Cerocephala Westwood is one of five Nearctic genera currently placed in the Cerocephalinae (Burks, 1979). All members of this subfamily parasitize woodboring beetles and/or possibly their parasites. Keys to world genera of the subfamily were given by Gahan (1946) and Hedqvist (1969). *Cerocephala* was first reported in the Nearctic by Wallace (1959) who described the species *dubarae* as a parasite of the Eastern Death Watch Beetle (*Hadrobregmus carinatus* (Say): Anobiidae). Burks (1979) later added the Palearctic species *C. rufa* (Walker) to the Nearctic faunal list. This was cited as a secondary parasite of *Dendrosoter* sp. and *Spathius* sp. (Braconidae) through Scolytidae. The purpose of this paper is to clarify the nomenclature of the two Nearctic species of this genus, both of which have been misidentified. In addition a key and illustrations are given to aid in identification, and a lectotype is chosen for the Holaractic species *C. eccoptogastri* Masi.

> Cerocephala eccoptogastri Masi Figs. 1–4

Cerocephala eccoptogastri Masi, 1921: 189–193. Cerocephala sp. indet.: Graham, 1969: 59, 61. Cerocephala rufa: Burks, 1979: 781 (misidentification)

VOLUME 83, NUMBER 4

Cerocephala eccoptogastri is the correct name for the taxon that has been called C. rufa in the New World. Burks (1979) reported C. rufa for the first time from the Nearctic. I subsequently identified this species as rufa until Marcus Graham suggested in a letter to A. Hajek that the species was not rufa but might be C. eccoptogastri or an undescribed species. Study of six female and two male syntype specimens of Masi's species convinced me that they are identical to the Nearctic species being called C. rufa. Graham (1969: 59, 61) mentioned the possibility that an indeterminate species known to him from material in the British Museum (Natural History) might also be eccoptogastri. Boucek (in litt.) has confirmed this as the correct name.

Identification.—In addition to characters mentioned in the key at the end of this paper, female *eccoptogastri* may be separated from female *rufa* by the following character: In *eccoptogastri* the lower facial process (Fig. 1, lfp) projects farther forward than the upper facial process (ufp) so that both may be seen in dorsal view (Fig. 2), whereas in *rufa* the upper process projects farther forward than the lower which is not visible from above (Fig. 6). In male *rufa* the processes are about equally weakly developed so that from above the appearance is of only one process (Fig. 8) as in the female. Both can be seen from above for male *eccoptogastri* (Fig. 4).

Type-material.—Described from $7 \, \mathfrak{P}$ and $4 \, \mathfrak{S}$. I take this opportunity to designate as LECTOTYPE the female marked with a black dot inside a black ring. This is the lowermost of 2 card-mounted specimens on a single pin bearing the data: "Bengasi, 111-1916, Zanon, cotype." Specimens in the Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "G. Doria," Genova.

Distribution.—In the Nearctic, *eccoptogastri* has been reported from Ohio, Michigan, and Colorado (Burks, 1979, as *rufa*). I have confirmed these records based on specimens in the U.S. National Museum and add the new state record of California. The Palearctic distribution is Libya (Bengasi), Egypt, and Spain (Hedqvist, 1969), to which is added "Macedonia," Greece, and France (based upon my determination of USNM material), Turkey and Palestine (Graham, 1969: 61, indeterminate species), and Israel (Boucek, *in litt.*).

Hosts.—Nearctic hosts for this species include *Scolytus multistriatus* (Marsham) (Scolytidae) and *Dendrosoter* and *Spathius* spp. (Braconidae). Palearctic records include *Scolytus ?rugulosus* (Ratzeburg) and *S. koenigi* Schevyrew (Hedqvist, 1969). *Cerocephala eccoptogastri* apparently may act as both a primary and secondary parasite through Scolytidae.

Cerocephala rufa (Walker) Figs. 5–8

Epimacrus rufus Walker, 1833: 369–370. *Cerocephala dubarae* Wallace, 1959: 84–86. NEW SYNONYMY.



Figs. 1–8. Heads of *Cerocephala* species. 1, 3, 5, 7, Lateral view. 2, 4, 6, 8, Dorsal view. (ufp = upper facial process; Ip = Iower facial process; iap = interantennal projection.)

Wallace (1959) compared his new species dubarae with Gahan's published concept (1946) of Cerocephala cornigera Westwood. According to Graham (1969: 60) the specimen in the Hope Museum which formed the basis of Gahan's concept of cornigera is actually a male of rufa. Gahan's published description of *cornigera* (1946: 358), however, was not based so much on the Hope specimen of *rufa* as on notes on the type of *Sciatheras* trichotus Ratzeburg, a synonym of cornigera. Thus Gahan's description of *cornigera* is probably a combination of both *rufa* and *cornigera*. Confounding the problem even further, however, is that Gahan's illustrations (1946: Pl. 47, fig. 4, 4a and Pl. 48, fig. 3) are almost certainly of eccoptogastri. This is based on the following two factors: The drawings were done by A. Cushman in Washington, and because Gahan refers only to "notes" he had taken, it is not likely that he borrowed any of the specimens he had seen in Europe; Gahan (1946: 359) determined two specimens from Hyeres, France as cornigera and stated that these were the only specimens of this species in the U.S. National Museum. These specimens, determined by Gahan as cornigera and presumably the only specimens available for illustration by Cushman, are actually eccoptogastri based on comparison with the type material of Masi. The basic problem, then, is that Gahan's concept of cornigera was based on notes and illustrations that involved at least two possible misidentifications (namely *cornigera* for *rufa* and *eccoptogastri*). Wallace (1959) compared his new species *dubarae* against Gahan's illustrations of *cornigera* (i.e., actually *eccoptogastri*). I have seen two female and one male paratypes of *dubarae* as well as the type of *rufa* and can find no basis for separation. A further basis for combining these names is that both are associated with Anobiidae, whereas *eccoptogastri* and *cornigera* appear to be associated with Scolytidae.

Identification.—See key and identification section for eccoptogastri.

Type-material.—*Epimacrus rufus* Walker, described from $1 \ \circ$ (see Graham, 1969: 60) in the G. T. Rudd collection, Yorkshire Museum, York, England. *Cerocephala dubarae* Wallace, described from $5 \ \circ$ and $2 \ \circ$, the holotype, allotype and $1 \ \circ$ paratype in the Carnegie Museum, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; $2 \ \circ$ paratypes and $1 \ \circ$ paratype in the U.S. National Museum, Washington, D.C.; $1 \ \circ$ paratype, Canadian National Collection, Ottawa, Canada.

Distribution.—In the Nearctic, *rufa* is known only from Pennsylvania. In the Palearctic it is known from Britain, Czechoslovakia, and Sweden (Graham, 1969; Hedqvist, 1969).

Hosts.—In the Nearctic, this species is known from *Hadrobregmus carinatus* (Anobiidae). In the Palearctic, *rufa* has been reared from *Anobium pertinax* Fabricius and *A. punctatum* (DeGeer) (Anobiidae), possibly as a secondary through the braconid *Spathius exarator* (Linnaeus) (Graham, 1969).

KEY TO NEARCTIC CEROCEPHALA

-	In profile (Figs. 1, 3), lower facial process (lfp) produced as a denticle,
	carinae laterad of clypeus distinct causing ventral anterior corner of
	head to appear denticulate, interantennal projection acute

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank A. E. Hajek (Division of Biological Control, University of California, Albany) for providing reared specimens of *C. eccoptogastri*; M. W. R. de V. Graham (Hope Entomological Collection, Oxford) for pointing out the discrepancy in identification of *C. rufa* and for loaning specimens of *C. rufa* and *C. cornigera* Westwood; R. Poggi (Museo Civico Di Storia Naturale "G. Doria," Genova) who kindly lent to me Masi's syntypes of *C. eccoptogastri*; and Z. Boucek (Commonwealth Institute of Entomology, London) for constructive comments on this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Burks, B. D. 1979. Pteromalidae, pp. 768–835. In Krombein, K. V. et al., eds. Catalog of Hymenoptera in America north of Mexico. Vol. I. Symphyta and Apocrita (Parasitica). Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C. 1198 pp.
- Gahan, A. B. 1946. Review of some chalcidoid genera related to *Cerocephala* Westwood. Proc. U.S. Natl. Mus. 96: 349–376, 2 pls.
- Graham, M. W. R. de V. 1969. The Pteromalidae of Northwestern Europe. Bull. Br. Mus. (Nat. Hist.) Entomol. Suppl. 16: 1–908.
- Hedqvist, K. J. 1969. Notes on Cerocephalini with description of new genera and species. Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 71: 449-466.
- Masi, L. 1921. Chalcididae Raccolte in Cirenaica dal Dott. V. Zanon. Ann. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Genova (Ser. 3) 9: 168–193.

Walker, F. 1833. Monographia Chalcidum. Entomol. Mag. 1: 367-384.

Wallace, G. E. 1959. A new pteromalid parasite of the Eastern Death Watch Beetle, Hadrobregmus carinatus (Say). Bull. Brooklyn Entomol. Soc. 54: 84–86.